arXiv:1605.09746v2 [math.RT] 31 Mar 2017

UNIVERSAL DEFORMATION RINGS OF STRING MODULES OVER A CLASS OF SELF-INJECTIVE SPECIAL BISERIAL ALGEBRAS

YOHNY CALDERÓN-HENAO, HERNÁN GIRALDO, RICARDO RUEDA-ROBAYO,
AND JOSÉ A. VÉLEZ-MARULANDA

Abstract. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field, let Λ be a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-algebra and let V be a Λ-module with stable endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. If Λ is self-injective, then V has a universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda, V)$, which is a complete local commutative Noetherian $\Bbbk$-algebra with residue field $\Bbbk$. Moreover, if Λ is further a Frobenius $\Bbbk$-algebra, then $R(\Lambda, V)$ is stable under syzygies. We use these facts to determine the universal deformation rings of string Λ_N-modules whose corresponding stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, and which lie in a connected component of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_N containing a module with endomorphism ring also isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, where $N \geq 1$ and Λ_N is a self-injective special biserial $\Bbbk$-algebra whose Hochschild cohomology ring is a finitely generated $\Bbbk$-algebra (as proved by N. Snashall and R. Taillefer).

1. Introduction

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of arbitrary characteristic, and denote by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ the category of all complete local commutative Noetherian $\Bbbk$-algebras with residue field $\Bbbk$. Suppose that Λ is a fixed finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-algebra and let V be a finitely generated Λ-module. We denote by $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$ (resp., by $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_\Lambda(V)$) the endomorphism ring (resp., the stable endomorphism ring) of V (see e.g. [3, §IV.1]). Let R be an arbitrary object in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. A *lift* (M, ϕ) of V over R is a finitely generated $R \otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda$-module M that is free over R together with an isomorphism of Λ-modules $\phi : \Bbbk \otimes_R M \to V$. If Λ is self-injective and the stable endomorphism ring of V is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, then there exists a particular object $R(\Lambda, V)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and a lift $(U(\Lambda, V), \phi_{U(\Lambda,V)})$ of V over $R(\Lambda, V)$, which is universal with respect to all isomorphism classes of lifts of V over such $\Bbbk$-algebras R (see [14] and §2). The ring $R(\Lambda, V)$ and the isomorphism class of the lift $(U(\Lambda, V), \phi_{U(\Lambda,V)})$ are respectively called the *universal deformation ring* and the *universal deformation* of V. Traditionally, universal deformation rings are studied when Λ is equal to a group algebra $\Bbbk G$, where G is a finite group and $\Bbbk$ has positive characteristic p (see e.g., [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]). In particular, it was proved in [7] that if V is a finitely generated $\Bbbk G$-module whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, then V has a universal deformation ring $R(G, V)$. Observe that $\Bbbk G$ is an example of a self-injective $\Bbbk$-algebra (see e.g., [4, Prop. 3.1.2]). This approach has led to the solution of various open problems, e.g., the construction of representations whose universal deformation rings are not local complete intersections (see [5, 8, 9]). On the other hand, in [12, 14, 30], universal deformation rings for certain self-injective algebras, which are not Morita equivalent to a block of a group algebra, are also discussed. More recently, it follows from [13, Prop. 3.2.5] that the isomorphism class of universal deformation rings of modules whose stable endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ is also an invariant under stable equivalences of Morita type (as introduced by M. Broué in [15]) between self-injective $\Bbbk$-algebras.

Deformation of modules over more general and other types of finite dimensional algebras have been studied by various authors in different contexts (see e.g., [2, 22, 31] and their references).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G10 and 16G20 and 20C20.
Key words and phrases. Universal deformation rings and self-injective algebras and symmetric special biserial algebras and stable endomorphism rings.
The fourth author was supported by the Release Time for Research Scholarship of the Office of Academic Affairs and by the Faculty Research Seed Grant of the Office of Sponsored Programs & Research Administration at the Valdosta State University. This research was also partly supported by CODI and Estrategia de Sostenibilidad 2016-2017 (Universidad de Antioquia), and COLCIENCIAS-ECOPETROL (Contrato RC. No. 0266-2013).

In this article, we assume that $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed, that m is an integer with $m \geq 3$, and we consider the basic $\Bbbk$-algebra Λ_N as in Figure 1, where $N \geq 1$.

$Q =$



$$I_N = \langle a_{i+1}a_i, \bar{a}_{i-1}\bar{a}_i, (\bar{a}_i a_i)^N - (a_{i-1}\bar{a}_{i-1})^N : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\rangle.$$

FIGURE 1. The basic $\Bbbk$-algebra $\Lambda_N = \Bbbk Q/I_N$.

These algebras Λ_N are all basic self-injective (so Frobenius by e.g. [28, Cor. 4.3]), and have been studied by various authors in different contexts. For example, when $m = 3$ and $N \geq 2$, it follows from [21, Thm. 3.4] that Λ_N is a derived equivalence class representative for a certain family of dihedral algebras with three simple modules that are Morita equivalent to a block of a group algebra. If $m = 3$ and $N = 1$, then Λ_1 is an algebra of dihedral type of polynomial growth as discussed by K. Erdmann and A. Skowroński in [20, §4]. Moreover, it follows from [18, §V.2.4.1] that if the characteristic of $\Bbbk$ is equal to 2, then Λ_1 is isomorphic to the group algebra of the alternating group A_4. This situation was also discussed in the context of universal deformation rings by F. M. Bleher and S. N. Talbott in [12, Prop. 3.1(iii)]. In a more general setting, N. Snashall and R. Taillefer proved in [29] that the Hochschild rings of the algebras Λ_N are finitely generated $\Bbbk$-algebras. The reader is invited to look at the references in e.g. [19, 25, 29] for getting further information concerning the algebras Λ_N in different settings.

Since Λ_N is a self-injective special biserial algebra (as introduced in [16]), all the non-projective indecomposable Λ_N-modules can be described combinatorially by using so-called string and bands for Λ_N; the corresponding indecomposable Λ_N-modules are called string and band modules. In this article, we are only interested in these string Λ_N-modules.

The aim of this article is to prove the following result (for more specific results and details see Remark 3.3, Corollary 3.4, and Propositions 4.1, 4.4, 4.7 and 4.8).

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ_N be the basic $\Bbbk$-algebra as in Figure 1, and let $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ denote the Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_N.*

(i) *If $N = 1$, then there are at most finitely many components $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module of minimal string length whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.*

(ii) *Assume that $N \geq 2$.*

(ii.a) *If m is odd, then there are at most finitely many components $\mathfrak{C}$ of type $\mathbb{ZA}_\infty^\infty$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module V with $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(V) \cong \Bbbk$.*

(ii.b) *If m is even, then there are infinitely many components $\mathfrak{C}$ of type $\mathbb{ZA}_\infty^\infty$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module V with $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(V) \cong \Bbbk$.*

(iii) *Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a component of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a Λ_N-module V whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, and let W be a Λ_N-module lying in $\mathfrak{C}$ with $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(W) \cong \Bbbk$.*

(iii.a) *If $N = 1$, then the universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda, W)$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.*

(iii.b) *If $N \geq 2$, then the universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda, W)$ is isomorphic either to $\Bbbk$, or to $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$, or to $\Bbbk[[t]]$.*

(iv) *Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a tube of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing only string Λ_N-modules, and let W be a Λ_N-module lying in $\mathfrak{T}$ with $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(W) \cong \Bbbk$. Then $R(\Lambda_N, W)$ is isomorphic either to $\Bbbk$ or to $\Bbbk[[t]]$.*

This article is organized as follows. In §2, we recall the definitions of deformations and universal deformation rings and summarize some of their properties. In §3, we describe the radical series of the indecomposable projective modules for Λ_N, and classify all Λ_N-modules whose endomorphisms rings are isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ (see Proposition 3.2). In §4, we prove Theorem 1.1. For the convenience of the reader, in §A we describe some basic aspects concerning the representation theory of Λ_N, including a precise description of string modules for Λ_N and of the corresponding components of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ using hooks and co-hooks (see [16]). Moreover, we also give a description of the homomorphisms between strings modules as determined by H. Krause in [23].

We refer the reader to e.g., [1, 3, 4, 18] for getting further information about basic concepts from the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras such as the definition and properties of the syzygy functor Ω as well as the definition of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of an arbitrary Artinian algebra Λ.

2. Preliminaries Results on Deformations and Universal Deformation Rings

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of arbitrary characteristic and denote by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ the category of all complete local commutative Noetherian $\Bbbk$-algebras with residue field $\Bbbk$. Note that the morphisms in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ are continuous $\Bbbk$-algebra homomorphisms that induce the identity map on $\Bbbk$. Suppose that Λ is a finite dimensional $\Bbbk$-algebra and V is a fixed finitely generated Λ-module. As in §1, we denote by $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$ (resp., by $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_\Lambda(V)$) the endomorphism ring (resp., the stable endomorphism ring) of V. Let R be an arbitrary object in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$. A *lift* (M, ϕ) of V over R is a finitely generated $R \otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda$-module M that is free over R together with an isomorphism of Λ-modules $\phi : \Bbbk \otimes_R M \to V$. Two lifts (M, ϕ) and (M', ϕ') over R are *isomorphic* if there exists an $R \otimes_\Bbbk \Lambda$-module isomorphism $f : M \to M'$ such that $\phi' \circ (\mathrm{id}_\Bbbk \otimes f) = \phi$, where $\mathrm{id}_\Bbbk$ denotes the identity map on $\Bbbk$. If (M, ϕ) is a lift of V over R, we denote by $[M, \phi]$ its isomorphism class and say that $[M, \phi]$ is a *deformation* of V over R. We denote by $\mathrm{Def}_\Lambda(V, R)$ the set of all deformations of V over R. The *deformation functor* over V is the covariant functor $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V : \hat{\mathcal{C}} \to \mathrm{Sets}$ defined as follows: for all objects R in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, define $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V(R) = \mathrm{Def}_\Lambda(V, R)$; and for all morphisms $\alpha : R \to R'$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, let $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V(\alpha) : \mathrm{Def}_\Lambda(V, R) \to \mathrm{Def}_\Lambda(V, R')$ be defined as $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V(\alpha)([M, \phi]) = [R' \otimes_{R,\alpha} M, \phi_\alpha]$, where $\phi_\alpha : \Bbbk \otimes_{R'} (R' \otimes_{R,\alpha} M) \to V$ is the composition of Λ-module isomorphisms

$$\Bbbk \otimes_{R'} (R' \otimes_{R,\alpha} M) \cong \Bbbk \otimes_R M \xrightarrow{\phi} V.$$

Suppose there exists an object $R(\Lambda, V)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and a deformation $[U(\Lambda, V), \phi_{U(\Lambda,V)}]$ of V over $R(\Lambda, V)$ with the following property. For each objects R in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and for all lifts M of V over R, there exists a morphism $\upsilon : R(\Lambda, V) \to R$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V(\upsilon)[U(\Lambda, V), \phi_{U(\Lambda,V)}] = [M, \phi],$$

and moreover υ is unique if R is the ring of dual numbers $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2)$. Then $R(\Lambda, V)$ and $[U(\Lambda, V), \phi_{U(\Lambda,V)}]$ are respectively called the *versal deformation ring* and *versal deformation* of V. If for each object R in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and for all lifts (M, ϕ) of V over R, the morphism υ is unique up to unique canonical isomorphism in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$, then $R(\Lambda, V)$ and $[U(\Lambda, V), \phi_{U(\Lambda,V)}]$ are respectively called the *universal deformation ring* and the *universal deformation* of V. In other words, the universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda, V)$ represents the deformation functor $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V$ in the sense that $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V$ is naturally isomorphic to the Hom functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(R(\Lambda, V), -)$. Using Schlessinger's criteria [26, Thm. 2.11] and using methods similar to those in [24], it is straightforward to prove that the deformation functor $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V$ is continuous, that every finitely generated Λ-module V has a versal deformation ring, and that this versal deformation is universal provided that the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_\Lambda(V)$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ (see [14, Prop. 2.1]).

Recall that Λ is said to be self-injective if the regular left Λ-module ${}_\Lambda\Lambda$ is injective, and that Λ is called a Frobenius algebra provided that the right Λ-modules Λ_Λ and $({}_\Lambda\Lambda)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_\Bbbk({}_\Lambda\Lambda, \Bbbk)$ are isomorphic. By [17, Prop. 9.9], every Frobenius algebra is self-injective. It is also well-known that a basic algebra is self-injective

if and only if it is Frobenius (see e.g. [28, Cor. 4.3]). It was proved in [13, Prop. 3.2.5] that the isomorphism class of versal deformation rings is preserved under stable equivalences of Morita type (as introduced by M. Broué in [15]) between self-injective $\Bbbk$-algebras. Consequently, universal deformation rings of modules whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ are also preserved by stable equivalences of Morita type. This was used in [13, §4] to classify universal deformation rings up to derived equivalence for certain family of symmetric algebras of dihedral type (as introduced by K. Erdmann in [18]).

Remark 2.1. If Λ is self-injective and (M,ϕ) is a lift of V over an object R in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ with $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_\Lambda(V)\cong\Bbbk$, then the deformation $[M,\phi]$ does not depend on the particular choice of the Λ-module isomorphism. More precisely, if $f: M\to M'$ is an $R\otimes_\Bbbk\Lambda$-module isomorphism with (M',ϕ') a lift of V over R, then there exists an $R\otimes_\Bbbk\Lambda$-module isomorphism $\bar{f}: M\to M'$ such that $\phi'\circ(\mathrm{id}_\Bbbk\otimes_R\bar{f})=\phi$. In other words, $[M,\phi]=[M',\phi']$ in $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_V(R)=\mathrm{Def}_\Lambda(V,R)$ (see Claim 3 within the proof of [14, Thm. 2.6]).

If V is an arbitrary Λ_N-module, then we denote the first syzygy of V by ΩV, i.e., ΩV is the kernel of a projective cover $P_V\to V$ (see e.g., [3, pp. 124-126]).

Example 2.2. Let G be a finite group and consider the group algebra $\Bbbk G$, which is a self-injective $\Bbbk$-algebra (see e.g., [4, Prop. 3.1.2] and [17, Prop. 9.6]). It was proved in [7] that if V is a finitely generated $\Bbbk G$-module whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, then V has a universal deformation ring $R(\Bbbk G,V)$. Moreover, the stable endomorphism ring of ΩV is also isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ and the universal deformation rings $R(\Bbbk G,V)$ and $R(\Bbbk G,\Omega V)$ of V and ΩV, respectively, are isomorphic.

The following result generalizes the properties of universal deformation rings mentioned in Example 2.2 to arbitrary Frobenius $\Bbbk$-algebras (see [14, Thm. 2.6]).

Theorem 2.3. *Let Λ be a finite dimensional self-injective $\Bbbk$-algebra, and suppose that V is a finitely generated Λ-module whose stable endomorphism ring $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_\Lambda(V)$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.*

(i) *The module V has a universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda,V)$.*
(ii) *If P is a finitely generated projective Λ-module, then $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_\Lambda(V\oplus P)\cong\Bbbk$ and $R(\Lambda,V\oplus P)\cong R(\Lambda,V)$.*
(iii) *If Λ is also a Frobenius algebra, then $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_\Lambda(\Omega V)\cong\Bbbk$ and $R(\Lambda,\Omega V)\cong R(\Lambda,V)$.*

3. Classification of the string Λ_N-modules with endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$

For the remainder of this article, let $\Bbbk$ be a fixed algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic and for all $N\geq 1$, we let $\Lambda_N=\Bbbk Q/I_N$ be as in Figure 1, where $m\geq 3$. We identify the vertices of Q with elements of $\mathbb{Z}/m$ (the cyclic group of m elements). These algebras are all basic self-injective (so Frobenius), and have been studied by various authors in different contexts (see e.g. [29, 25] and their references). A brief description of the representation theory of Λ_N is provided in §A. Recall that $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ denotes the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_N.

Remark 3.1. For all $m\geq 3$ and $N\geq 1$, the $\Bbbk$-algebra Λ_N is the Brauer graph algebra of a polygon with m edges and multiplicity N at every vertex. This implies in particular that the $\Bbbk$-algebras Λ_N are all symmetric (see [27, Thm. 1.1]). Therefore, it follows from [3, Prop. IV.3.8] that for all Λ_N-modules V, $\tau_{\Lambda_N}V=\Omega^2V$, where τ_{Λ_N} denotes the Auslander translation of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ (see [3, Prop. IV.3.8 & §VII.1]). In particular, for all connected components $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$, we have $\Omega^2\mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{C}$.

For all vertex $i\in\mathbb{Z}/m$ of Q, the radical series of the projective indecomposable Λ_N-module P_i can be described as in Figure 2.

3.1. Λ_N-modules with endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.

Let $i\in\mathbb{Z}/m$ be fixed but arbitrary. Let Z_i be the string representative for Λ_N defined as

$$Z_i=\begin{cases}\underline{w}_1\underline{w}_2\cdots\underline{w}_{m-1}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd},\\ \underline{w}_1\underline{w}_2\cdots\underline{w}_m, & \text{otherwise},\end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$



FIGURE 2. The radical series of the indecomposable projective Λ_N-module corresponding to the vertex $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$.

where $\mathbf{s}(Z_i) = i$,

$$\mathbf{t}(Z_i) = \begin{cases} i-1, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ i, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, $\underline{w}_j$ or $\underline{w}_j^{-1}$ is in $\{a_k, \bar{a}_k\}$ for a suitable $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. Note that the string length of Z_i is $m-1$ when m is odd, and m otherwise.

If m is even, then for all $n \geq 0$ we define **strings** representatives $Z_i^{(n)}$ for Λ_N inductively as follows:

$$Z_i^{(0)} = Z_i, \qquad \text{and} \tag{3.2}$$
$$Z_i^{(n+1)} = \theta_{\mathbf{t}\left(Z_i^{(n)}\right)} Z_i^{(n)} \qquad \text{for all } n \geq 0,$$

where for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, $\theta_k \in \{\bar{a}_{k+1}^{-1}a_k, a_{k+1}\bar{a}_k^{-1}\}$.

For all integers $n \geq 0$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, we define the string $W_i^{(n)}$ for Λ_N as

$$W_i^{(n)} = \begin{cases} Z_i, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ Z_i^{(n)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $M[S]$ be a string Λ_N-module. Then $M[S]$ has endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ if and only if there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ such that the string representative S is equivalent either to $\mathbb{1}_i$ or to a_i, or to $\bar{a}_i$, or there exists an integer $n \geq 0$ such that S is equivalent to a substring of $W_i^{(n)}$ as in (3.3).*

Proof. If S is a string representative for Λ_N as in the hypothesis of the "if" part of Proposition 3.2, then it follows from §A.3 that $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S]) = \Bbbk$. Assume then that S is a string representative for Λ_N such that $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S]) = \Bbbk$, and let n be the string length of S. If $n = 0$, then for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, $S = \mathbb{1}_i$. If $n = 1$, then S is string equivalent to an arrow or to the formal inverse of an arrow, which implies that there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ such that $S \sim a_i$ or $S \sim \bar{a}_i$. Next assume that $n \geq 2$ and let l be a maximal nonnegative integer such that for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, $(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l$ is string equivalent to a substring of S. If $l > 0$, then there exist suitable strings T and T' for Λ_N such that $S \sim T(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l \bar{a}_i T'$ or $S \sim T a_i (\bar{a}_i a_i)^l T'$ or $S \sim T(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l T'$. If $S \sim T(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l \bar{a}_i T'$ (resp. $S \sim T a_i (\bar{a}_i a_i)^l T'$, resp. $S \sim T(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l T'$) then the maximality of l implies that $(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l \bar{a}_i$ (resp. $a_i(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l$, resp. $(\bar{a}_i a_i)^l$) starts in a peak and ends in a deep as a substring of S as in §A.2, which implies that there exists a non-trivial canonical endomorphism of $M[S]$ as in (A.1) that factors through the string Λ_N-module $M[\bar{a}_i]$ (resp. $M[a_i]$, resp. $M[\mathbb{1}_i]$), and thus $\dim_{\Bbbk} \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S]) \geq 2$, contradicting the hypothesis. It follows then that $l = 0$, which implies that neither $\bar{a}_i a_i$ nor its formal inverse is a substring of S for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. Similarly we obtain that neither $a_i \bar{a}_i$ nor its formal inverse is a substring of S for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. Therefore, $S = \underline{w}_n \underline{w}_{n-1} \cdots \underline{w}_1$, where for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\underline{w}_j$ or $\underline{w}_j^{-1}$ is in $\{a_k, \bar{a}_k\}$ for a suitable $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. Assume first that m is odd. If $n \geq m$, then there exists at least a canonical endomorphism of $M[S]$ as in (A.1) that factors through a simple Λ_N-module, which again contradicts the hypothesis, and

thus $n < m$. This implies that S is equivalent to a substring of Z_i as in (3.1). Next assume that m is even. If $n \leq m$ then S is equivalent to a substring of Z_i as in (3.1). Assume next that $n > m$ and let $l \geq 0$ be maximal such that $S \sim TZ_i^{(l)}$ for a suitable string T, where $Z_i^{(l)}$ is as in (3.2). Assume further that T has positive string length. Since for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, S does contain a substring equivalent neither to $a_k\bar{a}_k$ nor to $\bar{a}_k a_k$, it follows from the maximality of l that T is equivalent to a_k or to $\bar{a}_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. This implies that there exists a non-trivial canonical endomorphism of the string Λ_N-module $M[S]$ as in (A.1) that factors through a non-simple string Λ_N-module $M[S']$ with S' a string representative for Λ_N, and which is equivalent to a proper substring of S. This contradicts that $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S]) \cong \Bbbk$, and therefore T has string length equal to zero. Hence in this situation, it follows that S is equivalent to $Z_i^{(l)}$ for some $l \geq 0$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

Remark 3.3. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ be fixed but arbitrary and let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a connected component of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module $M[S]$ with $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S]) \cong \Bbbk$.

(i) Note that if $N = 1$, then for all $n \geq 0$, the string Λ_1-module $M[W_i^{(n)}]$ as in (3.3) can be obtained either from $M[a_i]$ or from $M[\bar{a}_i]$ by taking hooks or co-hooks as in §A.2, and thus they belong to the component of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_1 containing either $M[a_i]$ or $M[\bar{a}_i]$. In this situation, we obtain that $\mathfrak{C}$ contains either a simple Λ_1-module or contains one of the string Λ_1-modules $M[a_i]$ or $M[\bar{a}_i]$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, and thus there are at most finitely many possibilities for $\mathfrak{C}$.

(ii) Assume now that $N \geq 2$. Then for all $n \geq 0$, the string Λ_N-module $M[W_i^{(n)}]$ cannot be obtained from a string Λ_N-module of minimal string length by adding hooks or co-hooks, which implies that $M[W_i^{(n)}]$ is of minimal string length.

(ii.a) If m is odd, then $\mathfrak{C}$ contains either a simple Λ_N-module or one of the string Λ_N-modules $M[a_i]$ or $M[\bar{a}_i]$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, or the string Λ_N-module $M[T]$, where T is a substring of Z_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. In this situation we also have that there are at most finitely many possibilities for $\mathfrak{C}$.

(ii.b) Finally, by Proposition 3.2, if m is even then for all $n \geq 0$, $M[Z_i^{(n)}]$ has endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, which implies that in this situation, there are infinitely many possibilities for $\mathfrak{C}$.

Following Remark 3.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4. (i) *If $N = 1$, then there are at most finitely many components of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module of minimal string length whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.*

(ii) *Assume that $N \geq 2$.*

(ii.a) *If m is odd, then there are at most finitely many components of type $\mathbb{ZA}_\infty^\infty$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module V with $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(V) \cong \Bbbk$.*

(ii.b) *If m is even, then there are infinitely many components of type $\mathbb{ZA}_\infty^\infty$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing a string Λ_N-module V with $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(V) \cong \Bbbk$.*

4. Universal deformation rings for Λ_N

In this section with consider the components $\mathfrak{C}$ of the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_N that contain a module whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ as in Proposition 3.2.

Let M and N be arbitrary finitely generated Λ_N-modules. Since Λ_N is self-injective, it follows that for all $j \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Ext}^j_{\Lambda_N}(M,N) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega^j M, N)$, where $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega^j M, N)$ is the quotient of $\Bbbk$-vector spaces of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega^j M, N)$ by the subspace consisting in those morphisms from $\Omega^j M$ to N that factor through a finitely generated projective Λ_N-module.

For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, we define

$$\underline{c}_i = a_i\bar{a}_{i-1}^{-1}(a_{i-1}\bar{a}_{i-1})^{1-N}, \qquad \underline{d}_i = (a_i\bar{a}_i)^{N-1}a_i\bar{a}_{i-1}^{-1},$$

and for all $n \geq 1$, define the **string** representative

$$\underline{C}_{i,n} = \underline{w}_1\underline{w}_2\cdots\underline{w}_n, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{t}(\underline{C}_i) = i$ and for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\underline{w}_j = \underline{c}_k$ for a suitable $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. We also define the **string** representative

$$\underline{D}_{i,n} = \underline{v}_1\underline{v}_2\cdots\underline{v}_n, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mathbf{s}(\underline{D}_i) = i$ and for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\underline{v}_j = \underline{d}_k$ for a suitable $k \in \mathbb{Z}/m$. For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, we let $\underline{C}_{i,0} = \mathbb{1}_i = \underline{D}_{i,0}$.

4.1. Components of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ of type $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$ containing a module whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.

4.1.1. *Components containing a simple Λ_N-module.*

Proposition 4.1. *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ be fixed and let $\mathfrak{A}_i$ be the component of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing the simple Λ_N-module $M[\mathbb{1}_i]$. Then the component $\mathfrak{A}_i$ is not Ω-stable, and all the Λ_N-modules V in $\mathfrak{A}_i \cup \Omega(\mathfrak{A}_i)$ have stable endomorphism ring $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V)$ isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. Their universal deformation rings $R(\Lambda_N, V)$ are also isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.*

Proof. Let $V_0 = M[\mathbb{1}_i]$. Then $\Omega V_0 = M[{}_c(\bar{a}_{i-1}a_{i-1})^{N-1}]$, where ${}_c(\bar{a}_{i-1}a_{i-1})^{N-1}$ is the left co-hook of $(\bar{a}_{i-1}a_{i-1})^{N-1}$ as in §A.2. This implies that $\Omega\mathfrak{A}_i \neq \mathfrak{A}_i$. On the other hand, for all $n \geq 1$, let $V_n = M[\underline{D}_{i,n}]$ and $V_{-n} = M[\underline{C}_{i,n}]$. It follows from Remark 3.1 and §A.2 that every string Λ_N-module belonging to $\mathfrak{A}_i \cup \Omega\mathfrak{A}_i$ lies in the Ω-orbit of V_n for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the description of the canonical morphisms in §A.3 and the shape of the indecomposable Λ_N-modules as in Figure 2 it follows that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V_n) \cong \Bbbk$, which implies that V_n has a universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda_N, V_n)$. Since it is straightforward to show by using §A.3 that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_n, V_n) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_n, V_n) = 0$, we obtain that $R(\Lambda_N, V_n) = \Bbbk$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

Remark 4.2. If $m = 3$ and $N = 1$, then Proposition 4.1 recovers some of the results in [12, Prop. 3.1(iii)] for the algebra Λ_1.

4.1.2. *Components containing a string Λ_N-module of the form $M[a_i]$ or $M[\bar{a}_i]$.* Let κ_m be the non-negative integer defined as follows:

$$\kappa_m = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{m-1}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.3. If $N = 1$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, the strings a_i and $\bar{a}_i$ for Λ_1 are maximal. Thus the respective components of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_1)$ containing the string Λ_1-modules $M[a_i]$ and $M[\bar{a}_i]$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ are tubes, which will be discussed in Proposition 4.8. Thus, for the remainder of §4.1, we assume that $N \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ be fixed. Let $\mathfrak{B}_i$ be the component of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing the Λ_N-module $M[\zeta_i]$ with $\zeta_i \in \{a_i, \bar{a}_i\}$. Then the component $\mathfrak{B}_i$ is not Ω-stable unless $N = 2$, and there are exactly κ_m Ω-orbits of Λ_N-modules in $\mathfrak{B}_i \cup \Omega\mathfrak{B}_i$ whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. More precisely, the modules in $\mathfrak{B}_i \cup \Omega\mathfrak{B}_i$ that have stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$ are the modules in the Ω-orbits of $V_n = M[\underline{D}_{i+1,n}\zeta_i]$ with $0 \leq n \leq \kappa_m - 1$. The universal deformation rings $R(\Lambda_N, V_n)$ are isomorphic to $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$ when $n = 0$, and to $\Bbbk$ when $0 < n < \kappa_m - 1$. If m is odd, then $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m - 1})$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. If m is even, then $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m - 1})$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk[[t]]$.*

Proof. Let $V_0 = M[a_i]$. By using the shape of the indecomposable Λ_N-modules as in Figure 2, we obtain that $\Omega V_0 = \Omega M[a_i] = M[{}_c(a_i(\bar{a}_i a_i)^{N-2})]$, where ${}_c(a_i(\bar{a}_i a_i)^{N-2})$ is the left co-hook of the string $a_i(\bar{a}_i a_i)^{N-2}$ as in §A.2. This implies that $\mathfrak{B}_i$ is not Ω-stable unless $N = 2$. For all $n \geq 1$, let $V_n = M[\underline{D}_{i+1,n}a_i]$ and $V_{-n} = M[a_i\underline{C}_{i,n}]$. As before, it follows from Remark 2.1 and §A.2 that every string Λ_N-module belonging to $\mathfrak{B}_i \cup \Omega\mathfrak{B}_u$ lies in the Ω-orbit of V_n for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $N = 2$, then for all $n \geq 1$, the Λ_2-module V_{-n} lies in the Ω-orbit of V_{k_n} for some integer $k_n \geq 0$. If $N \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$, it follows from the description of the canonical morphisms in §A.3 and the shape of the indecomposable Λ_N-modules as in Figure 2 that the Λ_N-module V_{-n} has a canonical endomorphism as in (A.1) factoring through the simple Λ_N-module

$M[\mathbb{1}_{i+1}]$, and which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. Therefore in this situation we have $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V_{-n}) \ncong \Bbbk$. On the other hand, it is straightforward to show that for all $N \geq 2$, $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V_n) \cong \Bbbk$ for $1 \leq n \leq \kappa_m - 1$. Therefore if $N \geq 2$ and $0 \leq n \leq \kappa_m - 1$, then V_n has a universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda_N, V_n)$. On the other hand, we have that $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V_n) \ncong \Bbbk$ for $n \geq \kappa_m$, for in this situation V_n has a non-trivial canonical endomorphism as in (A.1) that factors through either a simple Λ_N-module or a string Λ_N-module whose string representative has string length 1, and which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. Assume that $n = 0$. Then there is a unique canonical morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_0, V_0)$ that factors through $M[a_i]$ which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. Since $\dim_{\Bbbk} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_0, V_0) = 1$, it follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_0, V_0) \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_0, V_0) \cong \Bbbk$. Therefore, $R(\Lambda_N, V_0)$ is a quotient of $\Bbbk[[t]]$.

Claim 4.5. *$R(\Lambda_N, V_0) = R(\Lambda_N, M[a_i])$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$.*

Proof. For all $0 \leq l \leq N-1$, let $S_l = (a_i\bar{a}_i)^l a_i$. Let $l \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ be fixed. Then there exists a non-trivial canonical endomorphism σ_l of the Λ_N-module $M[S_l]$ as in (A.1) that factors through $M[S_{l-1}]$, namely

$$\sigma_l : M[S_l] \twoheadrightarrow M[S_{l-1}] \hookrightarrow M[S_l]. \tag{4.4}$$

Observe that the kernel of σ_l as well as the image of σ_l^{l-1} are isomorphic to $V_0 = M[a_i]$, and that $\sigma_l^l = 0$. Thus, the Λ_N-module $M[S_l]$ is naturally a $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Lambda_N$-module, where the action of t on $x \in M[S_l]$ is given as $t \cdot x = \sigma_l(x)$. In particular, $tM[S_l] \cong M[S_{l-1}]$.

Let $\{\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2\}$ be a $\Bbbk$-basis of V_0. Using the isomorphism $M[S_l]/tM[S_l] \cong V_0$, we can lift the elements $\bar{r}_1$ and $\bar{r}_2$ to corresponding elements $r_1, r_2 \in M[S_l]$. It follows that $\{r_1, r_2\}$ is linearly independent over $\Bbbk$ and that $\{t^s r_1, t^s r_2 : 1 \leq s \leq l\}$ is a $\Bbbk$-basis of $tM[S_l] \cong M[S_{l-1}]$. Therefore, $\{r_1, r_2\}$ is a $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1})$-basis of $M[S_l]$, i.e., $M[S_l]$ is free over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1})$. Observe that $M[S_l]$ lies in a short exact sequence of Λ_N-modules

$$0 \to tM[S_l] \to M[S_l] \to \Bbbk \otimes_{\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1})} M[S_l] \to 0.$$

Then there exists an isomorphism of Λ_N-modules $\phi_l : \Bbbk \otimes_{\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1})} M[S_l] \to V_0$, which implies that $(M[S_l], \phi_l)$ is a lift of V_0 over $k[[t]]/(t^{l+1})$. Consider the lift $(M[S_{N-1}], \phi_{N-1})$ of V_0 over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(V_0) \cong \Bbbk$, it follows from Theorem 2.3(i) that there exists a unique morphism $\alpha : R(\Lambda_N, V_0) \to \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$M[S_{N-1}] \cong \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N) \otimes_{R(\Lambda_N, V_0), \alpha} U(\Lambda_N, V_0),$$

where $R(\Lambda_N, V_0)$ and $[U(\Lambda_N, V_0), \phi_{U(\Lambda_N, V_0)}]$ are respectively the universal deformation ring and the universal deformation of the Λ_N-module V_0. Since $(M[S_1], \phi_1)$ is not the trivial lift of V_0 over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2)$, it follows that there exists a unique surjective morphism $\alpha' : R(\Lambda_N, V_0) \to \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2)$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that

$$M[S_1] \cong k[[t]]/(t^2) \otimes_{R(\Lambda_N, V_0), \alpha'} U(\Lambda_N, V_0).$$

By considering the natural projection $\pi_{N,2} : \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N) \to \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2)$ and the lift $(U', \phi_{U'})$ of V_0 over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2)$ corresponding to the morphism $\pi_{N,2} \circ \alpha$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U' &\cong \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2) \otimes_{R(\Lambda_N, V_0), \pi_{N,2}\circ\alpha} U(\Lambda_N, V_0) \\ &\cong \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2) \otimes_{\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N), \pi_{N,2}} \left(\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N) \otimes_{R(\Lambda_N, V_0), \alpha} U(\Lambda_N, V_0)\right) \\ &\cong \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2) \otimes_{\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N), \pi_{N,2}} M[S_{N-1}] \\ &\cong M[S_{N-1}]/t^2 M[S_{N-1}] \cong M[S_1]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Remark 2.1 that $[U', \phi_{U'}] = [M[S_1], \phi_1]$ in $\hat{\mathrm{F}}_{V_0}(\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2))$. The uniqueness of α' implies that $\alpha' = \pi_{N,2} \circ \alpha$.

Since α' is surjective, α is also surjective. We want to prove that α is an isomorphism. Suppose this is false. Then there exists a surjective $\Bbbk$-algebra homomorphism $\alpha_0 : R(\Lambda_N, V_0) \to \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1})$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\pi_{N+1,N} \circ \alpha_0 = \alpha$, where $\pi_{N+1,N} : \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1}) \to \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$ is the natural projection. Let M_0 be a $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Lambda_N$-module, which defines the lift of V_0 over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1})$ corresponding to α_0. Since the kernel of $\pi_{N+1,N}$ is $(t^N)/(t^{N+1})$, then $M_0/t^N M_0 \cong M[S_{N-1}]$. Consider the $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Lambda_N$-module homomorphism $g : M_0 \to t^N M_0$ defined by $g(x) = t^N x$ for all $x \in M_0$. Since M_0 is free over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1})$,

then the kernel of g is isomorphic to tM_0. Thus, $M_0/tM_0 \cong t^N M_0$ for g is a surjection. Therefore $t^N M_0 \cong V_0$, which implies that there exists a non-split short exact sequence of $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{N+1}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Lambda_N$-modules

$$0 \to V_0 \to M_0 \to M[S_{N-1}] \to 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\Omega M[S_{N-1}] = \Omega M[(a_i\bar{a}_i)^{N-1}a_i] = M[(\bar{a}_{i-1}a_{i-1})^{N-1}\bar{a}_{i-1}]$, then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S_{N-1}], V_0) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega M[S_{N-1}], V_0) = 0.$$

It follows that the sequence (4.5) splits as a sequence of Λ_N-modules. Hence, $M_0 = V_0 \oplus M[S_{N-1}]$ as Λ_N-modules. Identifying the elements of M_0 as (v, x) with $v \in V_0$ and $x \in M[S_{N-1}]$, we see that the t acts on $(v, x) \in M_0$ as $t \cdot (v, x) = (\mu(x), \sigma_{N-1}(x))$, where $\mu : M[S_{N-1}] \to V_0$ is a surjective Λ_N-module homomorphism and σ_{N-1} is as in (4.4). Since the canonical homomorphism $\epsilon : M[S_{N-1}] \twoheadrightarrow M[a_i]$ generates $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S_{N-1}], V_0)$, then there exists $c \in \Bbbk^*$ such that $\mu = c\epsilon$, which implies that the kernel of μ is $tM[S_{N-1}]$. Therefore, $t^N(v, x) = (\mu(t^{N-1}x), \sigma^N_{N-1}(x)) = (0, 0)$ for all $v \in V_0$ and $x \in M[S_{N-1}]$, which contradicts the fact that $t^N M_0 \cong V_0$. Thus $\alpha : R(\Lambda_N, V_0) \to \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$ is an isomorphism and $R(\Lambda_N, M[a_i]) = R(\Lambda_N, V_0) \cong \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$. This finishes the proof of Claim 4.5. □

Assume now that $1 \leq n < \kappa_m - 1$. Then it is straightforward to show that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_n, V_n) = 0$, which implies that $R(\Lambda_N, V_n) \cong \Bbbk$. Finally assume that $n = \kappa_m - 1$. If m is odd, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_n}(V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1}) = 0$, which implies that $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1}) \cong \Bbbk$. Assume next that m is even. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1})$ has only a non-trivial canonical morphism as in (A.1) which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1}) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1}) = \Bbbk.$$

This implies that $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1})$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\Bbbk[[t]]$.

Claim 4.6. *If m is even then $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1})$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk[[t]]$.*

Proof. Let $W_i = \underline{D}_{i+1,\kappa_m-1}a_i$. Note that $\mathbf{s}(W_i) = i$, $\mathbf{t}(W_i) = i - 1$ and $V_{\kappa_m-1} = M[W_i]$. Let $T_0 = W_i$ and for all $l \geq 1$, let T_l be the string

$$T_l = T_{l-1}\bar{a}^{-1}_{i-1}W_i$$

By using similar arguments as in the proof of Claim 4.5, for each $l \geq 1$ we get lifts $(M[T_l], \varphi_l)$ of $M[W_i]$ over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1})$, where t acts on $x \in M[T_l]$ as $t \cdot x = \delta_l(x)$ and where δ_l is the non-trivial canonical endomorphism of $M[T_l]$ that factors through $M[T_{l-1}]$, namely

$$\delta_l : M[T_l] \twoheadrightarrow M[T_{l-1}] \hookrightarrow M[T_l]. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that for all $l \geq 1$, we have natural projections $\pi_{l,l-1} : M[T_l] \to M[T_{l-1}]$. Let $N_0 = \varprojlim M[T_l]$ and let t act on N_0 as $\varprojlim \pi_{l,l-1}$. In particular, N_0 is a $\Bbbk[[t]] \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Lambda_N$-module and $\Bbbk \otimes_{\Bbbk[[t]]} N_0 \cong N_0/tN_0 \cong M[W_i]$, which implies that there exists an isomorphism of Λ_N-modules $\varphi_0 : \Bbbk \otimes_{\Bbbk[[t]]} N_0 \to M[W_i]$. Let $k = \dim_{\Bbbk} M[W_i]$ and let $\{\bar{b}_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ be a $\Bbbk$-basis of N_0/tN_0. For all $1 \leq j \leq k$, we are able to lift these elements $\bar{b}_j$ in N_0/tN_0 to elements b_j of N_0 such that $\{b_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ is a generating set of the $\Bbbk[[t]] \otimes_{\Bbbk} \Lambda_N$-module N_0. It follows that $\{b_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ is a $\Bbbk[[t]]$-basis of N_0, i.e., N_0 is free over $\Bbbk[[t]]$. Therefore, (N_0, φ_0) is a lift of $M[W_i]$ over $\Bbbk[[t]]$ and there exists a unique $\Bbbk$-algebra homomorphism $\beta : R(\Lambda_N, M[W_i]) \to \Bbbk[[t]]$ in $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponding to the deformation defined by (N_0, φ_0). Since $N_0/t^2N_0 \cong M[T_1]$ as Λ_N-modules, we can see, as in the proof of Claim 4.5, that N_0/t^2N_0 defines a non-trivial lift of $M[W_i]$ over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^2)$ and that β is a surjection. Since $R(\Lambda_N, M[W_i])$ is a quotient of $\Bbbk[[t]]$, it follows that β is an isomorphism. Hence $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1}) = R(\Lambda_N, M[W_i]) \cong \Bbbk[[t]]$. This finishes the proof of Claim 4.6. □

Note that the above results concerning the string Λ_N-module $M[a_i]$ can be adjusted to obtain those for $M[\bar{a}_i]$. In particular, $R(\Lambda_N, M[\bar{a}_i]) \cong \Bbbk[[t]]/(t^N)$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.4. □

4.1.3. *Components containing a string Λ_N-module whose endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$, and whose string representative have string length greater than one.* For all integers $l \geq 1$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, define inductively the **string** representative $\Theta_{i,l}$ for Λ_N as follows:

$$\Theta_{i,1} \in \{\bar{a}_{i+1}^{-1}a_i, a_{i+1}\bar{a}_i^{-1}\}, \tag{4.7}$$
$$\Theta_{i,l+1} = \Theta_{\mathbf{t}(\Theta_{i,l}),1}\Theta_{i,l}.$$

Proposition 4.7. *Let S be a string representative for Λ_N with string length greater than one, and which equivalent to a substring of $W_i^{(n)}$ as in (3.3) for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $n \geq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the component of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing the string Λ_N-module $M[S]$.*

(i) *If S is string equivalent to $\Theta_{j,l}$ (as in (4.7)) for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $l \geq 1$, then every Λ_N-module V in $\mathfrak{C}\cup\Omega\mathfrak{C}$ has stable endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. In this situation, the universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda_N, V)$ is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.*

(ii) *If S is not string equivalent to $\Theta_{j,l}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $l \geq 1$, then there are exactly $2\kappa_m$ Ω^2-orbits of Λ_N-modules V in $\mathfrak{C} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{C}$ that have stable endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. In this situation, the universal deformation ring $R(\Lambda_N, V)$ is isomorphic either to $\Bbbk$ or to $\Bbbk[[t]]$.*

Proof. First assume that S is equivalent to $\Theta_{i,l}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $l \geq 1$. Note that every Λ_N-module in $\mathfrak{C} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{C}$ lies in the Ω-orbit of either $M[S]$, or $M[S\underline{C}_{i,n}]$, or $M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),n}S]$ for some $n \geq 1$. By using the shape of the indecomposable projective Λ_N-modules as in Figure 2 together with the description of the canonical endomorphisms in §A.3, it is straightforward to show that $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S\underline{C}_{i,n}]) \cong \Bbbk \cong \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),n}S])$. Since Λ_N is self-injective, it follows that Ω induces a self-equivalence of the stable module category of Λ_N. Then $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega M[S\underline{C}_{i,n}]) \cong \Bbbk \cong \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),n}S])$, which shows that every Λ_N-module in $\mathfrak{C} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{C}$ has stable endomorphism ring isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. Assume next that

$$S \sim \cdots \bar{a}_{i+1}^{-1}a_i. \tag{4.8}$$

It follows that $\Omega M[S] \cong M[\Delta S]$, where

$$\Delta S \sim \cdots (a_{i+1}\bar{a}_{i+1})^{N-1}a_{i+1}(a_i\bar{a}_i)^{1-N}\bar{a}_i^{-1}(a_{i-1}\bar{a}_{i-1})^{N-1}a_{i-1}. \tag{4.9}$$

Again by using the shapes of the indecomposable projective Λ_N-modules as in Figure 2 together with the description of the canonical homomorphisms as in §A.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S], M[S]) &= \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega M[S], M[S]) \\ &= \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(M[\Delta S], M[S]) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $R(\Lambda_N, M[S]) = \Bbbk$. Similarly, since for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S\underline{C}_{i,n}], M[S\underline{C}_{i,n}]) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),n}S], M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),n}S]),$$

we obtain that $R(\Lambda_N, M[S\underline{C}_{i,n}]) = \Bbbk = R(\Lambda_n, M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),n}S])$. Note that we can adjust the above arguments for the case

$$S \sim \cdots a_{i+1}\bar{a}_i^{-1}. \tag{4.10}$$

Since the isomorphism class of universal deformation rings is invariant under Ω by Theorem 2.3(iii), we obtain Proposition 4.7(i).

To prove Proposition 4.7(ii), assume that $\mathbf{s}(S) = i$ and that S is not equivalent to $\Theta_{i,l}$ for all $l \geq 1$. Let $n_1 \geq 0$ maximal such that the string Θ_{i,n_1} is string equivalent to a substring of S. Then there exists a string ζ of length 1 with $\mathbf{s}(\zeta) = \mathbf{t}(\Theta_{i,n_1})$ such that $S \sim \zeta\Theta_{i,n_1}$. Let κ_m be as in (4.3). By using the shape of the indecomposable projective Λ_N-modules as in Figure 2 together with the description of the canonical endomorphisms in §A.3, it is straightforward to show that for all $0 \leq j < \kappa_m$, $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),j}S]) \cong \Bbbk \cong \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S\underline{C}_{i,j}])$, and that if $j \geq \kappa_m$, $M[\underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),j}S]$ (resp. $M[S\underline{C}_{\mathbf{s}(S),j}]$) has a canonical endomorphism ring, which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. Thus there are exactly $2\kappa_m$ Ω^2-orbits in $\mathfrak{C} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{C}$ of Λ_N-modules whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$.

Let $0 \leq j < \kappa_m - 1$ be fixed but arbitrary, and let $S' = \underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),j}S$ (resp. $S'' = S\underline{C}_{i,j}$). As before, it is straightforward to show by using the shape of the indecomposable projective Λ_N-modules as in Figure

2 together with the description of the canonical endomorphisms in §A.3 that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S'], M[S']) = 0 = \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S''], M[S''])$. This implies $R(\Lambda_N, M[S']) \cong \Bbbk \cong R(\Lambda_N, M[S''])$.

Next let $S' = \underline{D}_{\mathbf{t}(S),\kappa_m-1}S$ (resp. $S'' = S\underline{C}_{i,\kappa_m-1}$). Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S'], M[S']) \cong \Bbbk \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(M[S''], M[S'']),$$

which implies that $R(\Lambda_N, M[S'])$ and $R(\Lambda_2, M[S''])$ are quotients of $\Bbbk[[t]]$. Let $T'_0 = S'$ (resp. $T''_0 = S''$) and for all $l \geq 1$, let $T'_l = T'_{l-1}\gamma' S'$ (resp. $T''_l = T''_{l-1}\gamma'' S'$) where γ' (resp. γ'') is either an arrow or the formal inverse of an arrow joining $\mathbf{t}(S')$ with $\mathbf{s}(S') - 1$ (resp. $\mathbf{t}(S'')$ with $\mathbf{s}(S'') - 1$) mod m. By using similar arguments as in the proof of Claim 4.5, for each $l \geq 1$, we get lifts $(M[T'_l], \varphi'_l)$ (resp.$(M[T''_l], \varphi''_l)$) of $M[S']$ (resp. $M[S'']$) over $\Bbbk[[t]]/(t^{l+1})$, where t acts on $x \in M[T'_l]$ (resp. $x \in M[T''_l]$) as $t \cdot x = \delta'_l(x)$ (resp. $t \cdot x = \delta''_l(x)$), and where δ'_l (resp. δ'') is the non-trivial canonical endomorphism of $M[T'_l]$ (resp. $M[T''_l]$) that factors through $M[T_{l-1}]$ (resp. $M[T'_l]$). By using similar arguments to those in the proof of Claim 4.6, we obtain that $R(\Lambda_2, M[S']) \cong \Bbbk[[t]] \cong R(\Lambda_2, M[S''])$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.7. □

4.2. **Tubes of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing string Λ_N-modules.** Using the description of the indecomposable projective Λ_N-module as in Figure 2 and the definition of the string Λ_N-modules in §A.1, it follows that if m is odd, then there are exactly two m-tubes in $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$, namely, one containing $M[(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0]$ and another one containing $\Omega M[(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0] = M[(\bar{a}_{m-1}a_{m-1})^{N-1}\bar{a}_{m-1}]$.

On the other hand if m is even, then there are exactly four $\frac{m}{2}$-tubes in $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$, namely, one containing the string Λ_N-module $M[(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0]$, other containing $\Omega M[(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0] = M[(\bar{a}_1a_1)^{N-1}\bar{a}_1]$, other containing the string Λ_N-module $M[(\bar{a}_{m-1}a_{m-1})^{N-1}\bar{a}_{m-1}]$, and another one containing $\Omega M[(\bar{a}_{m-1}a_{m-1})^{N-1}\bar{a}_{m-1}] = M[(\bar{a}_0a_0)^{N-1}\bar{a}_0]$.

As noted already in Remark 4.3, if $N = 1$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, the component of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_1)$ containing the string Λ_1-module $M[a_i]$ (resp. $M[\bar{a}_i]$) is a tube.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a tube of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ containing only string Λ_N-modules, and let κ_m be as in (4.3). Then there are exactly κ_m Ω^2-orbits of Λ_N-modules in $\mathfrak{T} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{T}$ whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. Their universal deformation rings are isomorphic either to $\Bbbk$ or to $\Bbbk[[t]]$.*

Proof. By using Theorem 2.3 together with Remark 3.1, we can prove Proposition 4.8 by just considering the tube $\mathfrak{T}$ containing the string Λ_N-module $V_0 = M[(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0]$ which lies on the boundary of $\mathfrak{T}$, for $(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0$ is a maximal string for Λ_N. For all $n \geq 1$, let $V_n = M[\underline{D}_{1,n}(a_0\bar{a}_0)^{N-1}a_0]$, where $\underline{D}_{1,n}$ is as in (4.2). Note that every Λ_N-module in $\mathfrak{T} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{T}$ lies in the Ω-orbit of V_n for some $n \geq 0$. If $0 \leq j \leq \kappa_m - 1$, then by using the description of the indecomposable Λ_N-modules together with that of the canonical morphisms in §A.3, it is straightforward to show that $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V_j) \cong \Bbbk$. If $j \geq \kappa_m$, then the string Λ_N-module V_j has an a canonical endomorphism as in (A.1) that factors through either a simple Λ_N-module or a string Λ_N-module corresponding to a maximal string for Λ_N, and which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. In this situation we have that $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Lambda_N}(V_j) \ncong \Bbbk$, and therefore there are exactly κ_m Ω^2-orbits of Λ_N-modules in $\mathfrak{T} \cup \Omega\mathfrak{T}$ whose stable endomorphism ring is isomorphic to $\Bbbk$. If $0 \leq j < \kappa_m - 1$ we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_j, V_j) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_j, V_j) = 0$, which implies $R(\Lambda_N, V_j) \cong \Bbbk$. If m is odd, then we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1}) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1}) = 0,$$

which implies $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1}) \cong \Bbbk$. Assume that m is even. Then there is a canonical morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_N}(\Omega V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1})$ as in (A.1) that factors through a simple Λ_N-module, and which does not factor through a projective Λ_N-module. This shows that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\Lambda_N}(V_{\kappa_m-1}, V_{\kappa_m-1}) \cong \Bbbk$, which implies that $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1})$ is a quotient of $\Bbbk[[t]]$. By using analagous arguments to those in the proof of Claim 4.6, we obtain that $R(\Lambda_N, V_{\kappa_m-1}) \cong \Bbbk[[t]]$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 4.8. □

Remark 4.9. If $m = 3$ and $N = 1$, then Proposition 4.8 recovers the results in [12, Prop. 3.2(iii)] for the algebra Λ_1.

5. Acknowledgements

This article was developed when the fourth author was a Visiting Associate Professor of Mathematics in the Instituto of Matemáticas at the Universidad de Antioquia in Medellín, Colombia during Summer 2016. The fourth author would like to express his gratitude to the other authors, faculty members, staff and students at the Instituto of Matemáticas as well as the other people related to this work at the Universidad de Antioquia for their hospitality and support during his visit. All the authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Rachael Taillefer for providing some of the information stated in Remark 3.1, which was very important for obtaining the results presented in this article.

Appendix A. Some Remarks about the Representation Theory of Λ_N

Let Λ_N be as in Figure 1. Since Λ_N is a special biserial algebra in the sense of [16], all the non-projective indecomposable Λ_N-modules can be described combinatorially by using so-called string and band for Λ_N. In the following, we describe these strings for Λ_N and the corresponding indecomposable Λ_N-modules, which are called string modules.

A.1. **String modules for Λ_N.** For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and for each arrow $a_i, \bar{a}_i$ of Q, we define a formal inverse by a_i^{-1}, $\bar{a}_i^{-1}$, respectively, and we let $\mathbf{s}(a_i) = \mathbf{t}(\bar{a}_i) = \mathbf{t}(a_i^{-1}) = \mathbf{s}(\bar{a}_i^{-1}) = i$ and $\mathbf{t}(a_i) = \mathbf{s}(\bar{a}_i) = \mathbf{s}(a_i^{-1}) = \mathbf{t}(\bar{a}_i^{-1}) = i+1$. By a *word* of length $n \geq 1$ we mean a sequence $w_1 \cdots w_n$, where the w_j is either an arrow or a formal inverse of an arrow and where $\mathbf{s}(w_j) = \mathbf{t}(w_{j+1})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. We define $(w_1 \cdots w_n)^{-1} = {w_n}^{-1} \cdots {w_1}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{s}(w_1 \cdots w_n) = \mathbf{s}(w_n)$ and $\mathbf{t}(w_1 \cdots w_n) = \mathbf{t}(w_1)$. If $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ is a vertex of Q, we define an empty word $\mathbb{1}_i$ of length zero with $\mathbf{t}(\mathbb{1}_i) = i = \mathbf{s}(\mathbb{1}_i)$ and $(\mathbb{1}_i)^{-1} = \mathbb{1}_i$. Denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the set of all words and let

$$J = \{a_{i+1}a_i, \bar{a}_{i-1}\bar{a}_i, (\bar{a}_i a_i)^N, (a_{i-1}\bar{a}_{i-1})^N : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\}.$$

Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on $\mathcal{W}$ defined by $w \sim w'$ if and only if $w = w'$ or $w^{-1} = w'$. A *string* is a representative C of an equivalence class under the relation $\sim$, where either $C = \mathbb{1}_i$ for some vertex i of Q, or $C = w_1 \cdots w_n$ with $n \geq 1$ and $w_j \neq w_{j+1}^{-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and no sub-word of C or its formal inverse belong to J. If C is a string such that $\mathbf{s}(C) = \mathbf{t}(C)$, then we let $C^0 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{t}(C)}$. If $C = w_1 \cdots w_n$ and $D = v_1 \cdots v_m$ are strings of length $n, m \geq 1$, respectively, we say that the composition of C and D is defined provided that $w_1 \cdots w_n v_1 \cdots v_m$ is a string and write $CD = w_1 \cdots w_n v_1 \cdots v_m$. Observe that $C\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{s}(C)} \sim C$ and $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{t}(C)}C \sim C$. Moreover, if $C = w_1 \cdots w_n$ is a string of length $n \geq 1$, then $C \sim w_1 \cdots w_j \mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{t}(w_{j+1})} w_{j+1} \cdots w_n$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. If $C = w_n \cdots w_1$ is a string of length $n \geq 1$, then there exists an indecomposable Λ_N-module $M[C]$, called the *string module* corresponding to the string representative C, which can be described as follows. There is an ordered $\Bbbk$-basis $\{z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ of $M[C]$ such that the action of Λ_N on $M[C]$ is given by the following representation $\varphi_C : \Lambda_N \to \mathrm{Mat}(n+1, \Bbbk)$. Let $\mathbf{v}(j) = \mathbf{t}(w_{j+1})$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $\mathbf{v}(n) = \mathbf{s}(w_n)$. Then for each vertex $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$, for each arrow $\gamma \in \{a_i, \bar{a}_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\}$ in Q, and for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ define

$$\varphi_C(i)(z_j) = \begin{cases} z_j, & \text{if } \mathbf{v}(j) = i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi_C(\gamma)(z_j) = \begin{cases} z_{j-1}, & \text{if } w_j = \gamma, \\ z_{j+1}, & \text{if } w_{j+1} = \gamma^{-1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We call φ_C the *canonical representation* and $\{z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ a *canonical $\Bbbk$-basis* for $M[C]$ relative to the string representative C. Note that $M[C] \cong M[C^{-1}]$. If $C = \mathbb{1}_i$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ then $M[C] = M[\mathbb{1}_i]$ is the simple Λ_N-module corresponding to the vertex i.

A.2. **The stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_N.** Recall that in this article we denote by $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ the stable Auslander-Reiten quiver of Λ_N (see [3, VII]). In the following, we describe the irreducible morphisms between string Λ_N-modules.

Assume $C = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ with $n \geq 1$ is a string. We say that C is *directed* if all w_j are arrows and we say that C is a *maximal directed string* if C is directed and if for every arrow γ in Q, $\gamma C \in J$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all maximal directed strings, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(a_i\bar{a}_i)^{N-1}a_i, (\bar{a}_{i-1}a_{i-1})^{N-1}\bar{a}_{i-1} : i \in \mathbb{Z}/m\}.$$

Let C be a string for Λ_N. We say that C *starts on a peak* (resp., *starts in a deep*) provided that there is no arrow φ in Q such that $C\varphi$ (resp., $C\varphi^{-1}$) is a string; we also say that C *ends on a peak* (resp., *ends in a deep*) provided that there is no arrow γ in Q such that $\gamma^{-1}C$ (resp., γC) is a string. If C is a string not starting on a peak (resp., not starting in a deep), say $C\varphi$ (resp., $C\varphi^{-1}$) is a string for some arrow φ, then there is a unique directed string $D \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $C_h = C\varphi D^{-1}$ (resp., $C_c = C\varphi^{-1}D$) is a string. We say C_h (resp., C_c) is obtained from C by adding a *hook* (resp., a *co-hook*) *on the right side*. Dually, if C is a string not ending on a peak (resp., not ending in a deep), say $\gamma^{-1}C$ (resp., γC) is a string for some arrow γ in Q, then there is a unique directed string $E \in \mathcal{M}$ such that ${}_hC = E\gamma^{-1}C$ (resp., ${}_cC = E^{-1}\gamma D$) is a string. We say ${}_hC$ (resp., ${}_cC$) is obtained from C by adding a *hook* (resp., a *co-hook*) *on the left side*. By [16], all irreducible morphisms between string modules are either canonical injections $M[C] \to M[C_h]$, $M[C] \to M[{}_hC]$, or canonical projections $M[C_c] \to M[C]$, $M[{}_cC] \to M[C]$.

Let S be a substring of C. We say that S *starts on a peak* (resp., *starts in a deep*) in C provided that there is no arrow φ in Q such that $S\varphi$ (resp., $S\varphi^{-1}$) is a substring of C; we also say that S *ends on a peak* (resp., *ends in a deep*) in C provided that there is no arrow γ in Q such that $\gamma^{-1}S$ (resp., γS) is a substring in C.

Suppose $M[C]$ is a string module of minimal string length such that $M[C]$ belongs to a component $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\Gamma_s(\Lambda_N)$ of type $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{A}_\infty^\infty$. Since none of the projective Λ_N-modules is uniserial then near $M[C]$ the component $\mathfrak{C}$ looks as in Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. The stable Auslander-Reiten component near $M[C]$.

A.3. **Homomorphisms between string Λ_N-modules.** Let S and T be strings for Λ_N and let $M[S]$ and $M[T]$ their respective string Λ_N-modules with respective canonical $\Bbbk$-basis $\{x_u\}_{u=0}^{l_1}$ and $\{y_v\}_{v=0}^{l_2}$. Suppose that C is a substring of both S and T such that the following conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

(i) $S \sim S'CS''$, with (S' of length zero or $S' = \hat{S}'\xi_1$) and (S'' of length zero or $S'' = \xi_2^{-1}\hat{S}''$), where S', $\hat{S}'$, S'', $\hat{S}''$ are strings and ξ_1, ξ_2 are arrows in Q; and

(ii) $T \sim T'CT''$, with (T' of length zero or $T' = \hat{T}'\zeta_1^{-1}$) and ($T''$ of length zero or $T'' = \zeta_2\hat{T}''$), where T', $\hat{T}'$, T'', $\hat{T}''$ are strings and ζ_1, ζ_2 are arrows in Q.

Then by [23] there exists a composition of Λ_N-module homomorphisms

$$\sigma_C : M[S] \twoheadrightarrow M[C] \hookrightarrow M[T], \tag{A.1}$$

and which sends each element of $\{x_u\}_{0\leq u\leq l_1-1}$ either to zero or to an element of $\{y_v\}_{0\leq v\leq l_2-1}$, according to the relative position of C in S and T, respectively. Suppose e.g. that $S = w_1\cdots w_{l_1}$, $T = \tilde{w}_1\cdots\tilde{w}_{l_2}$ and $C = w_{j+1}w_{j+2}\cdots w_{j+l_3} = \tilde{w}_{k+l_3}^{-1}\tilde{w}_{k+l_3-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{w}_{k+1}^{-1}$, then

$$\sigma_C(x_{j+t}) = y_{k+l_3-t} \qquad \text{for } 0\leq t\leq l_3, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma_C(x_u) = 0 \text{ for all other } u.$$

We call σ_C a *canonical homomorphism from $M[S]$ to $M[T]$*. Note that there may be several choices for S', S'' (resp. T', T'') in (i) (resp. (ii)). In other words, there may be several $\Bbbk$-linearly independent canonical homomorphisms factoring through $M[C]$. By [23], every Λ_N-module homomorphism $\sigma : M[S]\to M[T]$ can be written as a unique $\Bbbk$-linear combination of canonical homomorphisms which factor through string modules corresponding to strings C satisfying (i) and (ii). In particular, if $S = T$, then every Λ_N-module endomorphism of $M[S]$ can be written as a unique $\Bbbk$-linear combination of the identity homomorphism and of canonical endomorphisms which factor through string Λ_N-modules $M[C]$ for suitable choices of C satisfying (i) and (ii). We call σ_C a *canonical homomorphism* from $M[S]$ to $M[T]$ that factors through $M[C]$. It follows from [23] that each Λ_N-module homomorphism from $M[S]$ to $M[T]$ can be written uniquely as a $\Bbbk$-linear combination of canonical Λ_N-module homomorphisms as in (A.1). In particular, if $M[S] = M[T]$ then the canonical endomorphisms of $M[S]$ generate $\mathrm{End}_{\Lambda_N}(M[S])$.

References

1. I. Assem, I. Simson, and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras. Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, no. 65, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
2. M. Auslander, I. Ding, and Ø. Solberg, *Liftings and weak liftings of modules*, J. Algebra **156** (1993), no. 2, 273–317.
3. M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 36, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
4. D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology I: Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 30, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
5. F. M. Bleher, *Universal deformation rings of dihedral defect groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 3661–3705.
6. _____, *Universal deformation rings and generalized quaternion defect groups*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 1499–1522.
7. F. M. Bleher and T. Chinburg, *Universal deformation rings and cyclic blocks*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 805–836.
8. _____, *Universal deformation rings need not be complete intersections*, Math. Ann. **337** (2007), 739–767.
9. F. M. Bleher, T. Chinburg, and B. de Smith, *Inverse problems for deformation rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), no. 11, 6149–6165.
10. F. M. Bleher and J. B. Froelich, *Universal deformation rings for the symmetric group S_5 and one of its double covers*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 523–530.
11. F. M. Bleher and G. Llosent, *Universal deformation rings for the symmetric group S_4*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **13** (2010), 255–270.
12. F. M. Bleher and S. N. Talbott, *Universal deformation rings of modules for algebras of dihedral type of polynomial growth*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **17** (2014), 289–303.
13. F. M. Bleher and J. A. Vélez-Marulanda, *Deformations of complexes for finite dimensional algebras*, Submitted. Available in http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.08081.
14. _____, *Universal deformation rings of modules over Frobenius algebras*, J. Algebra **367** (2012), 176–202.
15. M. Broué, *Equivalences of blocks of group algebras*, Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics (V. Dlab and L. L. Scott, eds.), NATO ASI Series, no. 424, Springer Netherlands, 1994, pp. 1–26.
16. M. C. R. Butler and C. M. Ringel, *Auslander-Reiten sequences with few middle terms and applications to string algebras*, Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), 145–179.
17. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory with Applications to Finite Groups and Orders*, vol. I, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
18. K. Erdmann, *Blocks of Tame Representation Type and Related Algebras*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, no. 1428, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
19. _____, *On Hochschild cohomology for selfinjective special biserial algebras*, Algebras, Quivers and Representations: The Abel Symposium 2011 (A. B. Buan, I. Reiten, and Ø. Solberg, eds.), Abel Symposia, no. 8, Springer, 2013, pp. 79–94.
20. K. Erdmann and A. Skowroński, *On Auslander-Reiten components of blocks and self-injective biserial algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), no. 1, 165–189.
21. T. Holm, *Derived equivalence classification of algebras of dihedral, semidihedral, and quaternion type*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), 159–205.
22. R. Ile, *Change of rings in deformation theory of modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), 4873–4896.
23. H. Krause, *Maps between tree and band modules*, J. Algebra **137** (1991), 186–194.

24. B. Mazur, *An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations*, Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem (G. Cornell, J. H. Silverman, and G. Stevens, eds.), Springer-Verlag, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 243–311.
25. A. Parker and N. Snashall, *A family of Koszul self-injective algebras with finite Hochschild cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), 1245–1252.
26. M. Schlessinger, *Functors of Artin rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), 208–222.
27. S. Schroll, *Trivial extensions of gentle algebras and Brauer graph algebras*, J. Algebra **444** (2015), 183–200.
28. A. Skowroński and K. Yamagata, *Selfinjective algebras of quasitilted type*, Trends in Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics (A. Skowroński, ed.), EMS Series of Congress Reports, European Mathematical Society, 2008, pp. 639–708.
29. N. Snashall and R. Taillefer, *The Hochschild cohomology ring of a class of special biserial algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. **9** (2010), no. 1, 73–122.
30. J. A. Vélez-Marulanda, *Universal deformation rings of strings modules over a certain symmetric special biserial algebra*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **56** (2015), no. 1, 129–146.
31. D. Yau, *Deformation theory of modules*, Comm. Algebra **33** (2005), 2351–2359.

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Antioquia, Colombia
E-mail address: yohny.calderon@udea.edu.co

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Antioquia, Colombia
E-mail address: hernan.giraldo@udea.edu.co

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Antioquia, Colombia
E-mail address: ricardo.rueda@udea.edu.co

Department of Mathematics, Valdosta State University, 2072 Nevins Hall, 1500 N. Patterson St, Valdosta, GA, 31698-0040
E-mail address: javelezmarulanda@valdosta.edu